Applicant(s) Application No. MOODY ET AL 09/457.765 **Advisory Action** Art Unit Examiner Michael V. Meller --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 16 August 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1 113 may only be either (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114 PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection The period for reply expires on. (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION See MPEP 706 07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1 136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from. (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action, or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b) 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____ Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appear. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below): (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) \(\sum \) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) \(\subseteq \) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) ____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit. b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims are still properly rejected over the cited prior art 6 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection 7. \bigcirc For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \bigcirc will not be entered or b) \bigcirc will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows Claim(s) allowed none Claim(s) objected to: -----Claim(s) rejected 1-10

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ____

8 The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner

9 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

10 Other

Continuation of 2 NOTE: by deleting the siguage "an enzymatic acylation reaction" and inserting "in the presence of an enzyme" raises new issues under 35 USC 112, first and second paragraphs since the reaction is no longer an acylation reaction.

DAVID M. NAFF PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 1285



Creation date: 29-08-2003

Indexing Officer: MKAHSAY - MULU KAHSAY

Team: OIPEBackFileIndexing

Dossier: 09457765

Legal Date: 23-11-2001

	Number of pages
No. Doccode	 7
1 CTNF	

Total number of pages: 7

Remarks:

Order of re-scan issued on